

MOTIONS CONSIDERED BY THE CALIFORNIA STATE SPEECH COUNCIL on
May 15, 2004

MOTION TO REVISE BY-LAWS 03-09-E: Kamel, 2nd Corey

Article XI, Section 4, Paragraph C, #1 (new text in bold, deleted text stricken):

Debaters are responsible for the validity of all evidence read in the debate. In all rounds of debate, all debaters ~~shall orally deliver~~ **shall have available, if challenged** during each round **by the opponent**, complete citations for each piece of evidence introduced to include the name of the author, qualifications, complete source title, complete date and page number. Lack of a full citation shall void any effect of that piece of evidence in the round. ~~Should two or more quotations be used from the same source, the complete citation need be given only for the first piece of evidence used from that source.~~ Either no internal ellipsis (ellipses occur after the first word of the quotation and before the final word) may be used in evidence cited on a card, or ellipses may be shown on cards, if the original source or a xerox copy is present. The evidence may be read in ellipsed form, but the entirety of the evidence must be available in one of the two ways cited. Personal letters or telegrams shall not be admissible as evidence.

PASSED: 15-11

MOTION TO REVISE BY-LAWS 04-01-B: Barenbaum, 2nd Niemi

Article XI, Section 4, Paragraph C, #1 (new text in bold, deleted text stricken):

Debaters are responsible for the validity of all evidence read in the debate. In all rounds of debate, all debaters shall ~~orally deliver during each round~~ **place upon all evidence material** ~~complete citations for each piece of evidence introduced to include~~ the name of the author, qualifications, complete source title, complete date and page number **in a manner that will readily disclose that information to anyone reviewing the item of evidence used in the round. In all rounds of debate, all debaters shall orally deliver during each round when an item of evidence is read: the full name of the author, the author's qualifications, the name of the book or magazine or other published source from which the evidence was derived. Though the title of a magazine article is not required to be given, where the source is the internet, the debater may state "from the internet" without giving full web locator citation. The debater shall disclose the book or magazine or other published source cited within the web site if the web site is quoting information from a secondary source. Page citations need not be given orally and the publisher need not be given orally unless it is the sole means of identifying the magazine or book or other printed material. A judge shall disregard any evidence as to which either of these rules regarding evidence citation is violated.** Lack of a full citation shall void any effect of that piece of evidence in

the round. Should two or more quotations be used from the same source, the complete citation need be given only for the first piece of evidence used from that source. Either no internal ellipsis (ellipses occur after the first word of the quotation and before the final word) may be used in evidence cited on a card, or ellipses may be shown on cards, if the original source or a xerox copy is present. The evidence may be read in ellipsed form, but the entirety of the evidence must be available in one of the two ways cited. Personal letters or telegrams shall not be admissible as evidence.

FAILED: 8-11

MOTION TO REVISE BY-LAWS 04-05-A: Johnson, 2nd Montgomery

Article VIII, Section 7 (new text in bold, deleted text stricken):

- Insert **Parliamentary Debate** and **Public Forum Debate** in Paragraph A, item 2a
- Insert **Parliamentary Debate ... 1401-1464** and **Public Forum Debate... 1501-1564** in Paragraph B, item 4a

Article IX

- Insert Rules used at 2004 State Tournament for Parliamentary Debate as Section 5
- Insert Rules used at 2004 State Tournament for Public Forum Debate as Section 6.

** Pursuant to Article VIII, Section 7, Paragraph A, item 2: both events hereby submitted for approval as events to be offered at the State Tournament in 2005.

MOTION TO DIVIDE THE MOTION INTO "PARLIAMENTARY" AND "PUBLIC FORUM"

COMPONENTS: Moved Shuster, 2nd Barenbaum. PASSED: 21-9.

VOTE ON PARLIAMENTARY DEBATE

AYE: Cardoza, Shuster, Williamson, Fernandes, Barenbaum, Meredith, Ko, Willford, Smith, Caporusso, Cameron, Keller-Firestone, Underwood, Darling, Montgomery, Ziegler, McSweeney, Cullen, Corey, Jiy, Novak, Marcucilli, Jardine, Miller, DeGroff, Chertock, Cloo, Ballingal. (28)

NAY: Landes, Macdonald (2)

ABSTAIN: Pinza, Cummings (2)

VOTE ON PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE

AYE: Williamson, Pinza, Cummings, Caporusso, Cameron, Keller-Firestone, Underwood, Darling, Montgomery, Ziegler, McSweeney, Landes, Cullen, Novak, Cloo. (15)

NAY: Cardoza, Shuster, Fernandes, Barenbaum, Meredith, Ko, Smith, Corey, Jiy, Marcucilli, Jardine, Miller, DeGroff, Chertock, Ballingal. (15)

ABSTAIN: Willford, Macdonald (2)

*** MOTION FAILS**

MOTION TO REVISE BY-LAWS 04-05-B: Montgomery, 2nd Fernandes

Article X, Section 2, Paragraph D (new text in bold, deleted text stricken):

Whenever a judge has marked a contestant lower than fifth, such a rank shall be changed to fifth before tabulation begins. **This adjustment shall NOT be made when tabulating ranks for the final round (see Section 6, Paragraph A).** However, after a sixth or seventh....

Article X, Section 6, Paragraph A (new text in bold, deleted text stricken):

The winner in each event shall be determined on the basis of low total cumulative score, counting the rankings of the three preliminary rounds, and each judge's decision equally in the semi-final and final rounds. **In final rounds only, judge rankings of 6 and 7 shall be counted as 6 and 7, respectively.**

* Adjust example so Speaker B has a total cum of 26, not 25.

PASSED: voce

MOTION TO REVISE BY-LAWS 04-05-D: Johnson, 2nd Pinza

Article VIII, Section 7, Paragraph A, item 2 (new text in bold, old text is stricken)

The CSSC shall determine one year in advance the events which shall be offered at the State Tournament from among those **listed in items a-d below. It shall be presumed that the CHSSA approves of offering the listed events each year unless a motion is made to challenge inclusion of one or more events, or to add one or more events, to any State Tournament that is at least one year in the future from the date of the Motion, and such Motion is approved by the CSSC. Motions can be entertained to add a new event not on the lists set forth in items a-d on such terms as are deemed appropriate. To assist CHSSA members in evaluating retention or addition of events, a survey of event preferences will be prepared by the CHSSA President and provided to each coach at the State Tournament each year and the data from such surveys will be compiled and distributed to CHSSA at each annual May meeting. The survey will always include the opportunity to comment on every existing event and would provide an area where any desired new event could be listed.**

MOVE TO REMAND TO EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE: Kamel, 2nd Jiy. PASSED: voce.

MOTION to add Public Forum Debate as a trial event in the 2005 State Tournament:

Cameron, 2nd Ziegler

PASSED: 16-9

MOTION to set the number of Parliamentary debate teams competing at State Tournament

2005 at 32: Kamel, 2nd voce

MOVE TO REMAND TO DEBATE COMMITTEE: Keller-Firestone, 2nd Pinza.

PASSED: unanimous